

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,320	09/25/2003	Kazuhiro Nishiyama	OGOH : 026A	4144	
6160	7590 06/27/2005		EXAMINER		
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.			PARKER, KENNETH		
1421 PRINCE	STREET				
SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEVANIDDIA WA 22214 2005			2071		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					New Y			
	•	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	0			
Office Action Summary		10/669,32	:0	NISHIYAMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kenneth A	. Parker	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu iod will apply and wil tute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be atory minimum of thirty (30) of Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this com NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	3 April 2005.						
2a)□								
3)□								
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims			٠				
5)□ 6)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 31-44 and 51-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-44, 54-55, 62-64 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 51 and 52 is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) 53 and 56-61 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
•	-	ian naority une	dor 25115 C & 110	(a) (d) or (f)				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication for a line of the pa	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National S	itage			
Attachmer				(DTO 440)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/2003</u> .			al Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 51-53, 56-61 objected to because of the following informalities: The language "pixel electrodes which are divided minutely" seems to be an awkward wording for the pixels being separate from each other, which pixels always are or they would be shorted out, so the language could be altogether omitted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noguchi 5040875.

Noguchi discloses regarding 51 a liquid crystal element comprising: a multitude of pixel electrodes which are divided minutely; a liquid crystal to which an electric field is applied by said pixel electrodes; and an inclined structure (having a slit on two sides as Noguchi does creates an incline in the same way as applicant's slit creates an incline) such that an electric field direction of the

Art Unit: 2871

liquid crystal between at least one pair of adjacent pixels is inclined against an electrode plane (as the structure is the same, the behavior or functional result should be the same).

The reference shows regarding 52 a liquid crystal element according to claim 51, further comprising: an opposite electrode which is disposed in parallel with said pixel electrodes; wherein the liquid crystal is held between said pixel electrode and opposite electrode; and such inclined portion is such that a nonconductive portion is provided in a part of the opposite electrode which is opposite to a gap between at least one pair of adjacent pixel electrodes (the slits 130 are in the oposite electrode over the gap).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 53, 56-61 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The reference has gaps which are not wider than the spacing between the pixel electrodes. References that actually used openings for the purpose of creating a slanted field were not found to have the openings in the correct shapes and positions. Lien 5907380 employes insulative material, but not "in"

Art Unit: 2871

the electrode but rather on the electrode. Song et al 6778244 may show the opening over the gap between adjacent pixels, but it not shown as wider than the gap,

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group 1 (not listed as one of the choices due to an error in the restriction as written by the examiner) in the reply filed on 4/8/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that:

- 1) The restriction failed to include some of the specific embodiments.
- 2) Due to the presence of a common technical feature.

This is not found persuasive because the species choices is hereby corrected to include 1-7, and because the claims have not been shown not to be patentably distinct. Further, the common technical feature has been found to be not allowable. The requirement is still deemed proper.

Applicants indication that claims 51-52 are generic is appreciated and agreed with, however, the claim is not yet considered allowable and therefore the groups are not rejoined. However, applicant has indicated that claim 53 corresponds to group 1, 56 to group 5, 58 to group 7, 59 to group 4. As 53 has been deemed allowable and claims 56 and 58-59 are dependent upon 53, 53 must be generic to groups 1, 4-5 and 7, therefore those groups have been rejoined.

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A. Parker whose telephone number is 571-272-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth A Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871